

# Calculating $\alpha$ from $B_{mn}$ in Boozer Coordinates

N.M. Ferraro and L. Shi

October 20, 2016

## Notes on Boozer Coordinates

The Boozer coordinates constructed from M3D-C1 output use the coordinates  $(\chi, \theta, \zeta)$ , where  $\chi$  increases outward from the magnetic axis,  $\zeta$  increases counter-clockwise about the  $R = 0$  axis, and  $\theta$  increases clockwise about the magnetic axis in the  $(R, Z)$  plane. The (axisymmetric) equilibrium field is represented as

$$\vec{B}_0 = \psi' [\nabla\chi \times \nabla\zeta + q\nabla\theta \times \nabla\chi] \quad (1)$$

where  $\psi' = d\psi/d\chi$ . Note that  $\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla\theta = \psi' \nabla\chi \times \nabla\zeta \cdot \nabla\theta = -\psi' \mathcal{J}^{-1}$ .

Defining the poloidal magnetic flux  $\Psi$  as the magnetic flux through the area enclosed by the magnetic surface in the  $\theta$  direction (*i.e.* upward along the  $R = 0$  axis), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\chi) &= \int_0^\chi d\chi \int_0^{2\pi} d\zeta \mathcal{J} \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla\theta \\ &= -2\pi\psi \end{aligned}$$

In covariant form, we may write the field as

$$\vec{B}_0 = \beta\nabla\chi + g(\chi)\nabla\zeta + I(\chi)\nabla\theta \quad (2)$$

The total toroidal current enclosed by a magnetic surface in the equilibrium is

$$\begin{aligned} I_\zeta(\chi) &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int d\vec{A} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{B}_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\chi d\chi \mathcal{J} \nabla\zeta \cdot (\nabla\theta \times \nabla\chi) [\partial_\theta\beta - \partial_\chi I(\chi)] \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\mu_0} I(\chi) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the covariant  $\zeta$  component of the magnetic field  $I(\chi)$  is related to the current enclosed by the surface  $I_\zeta(\chi)$  by

$$I(\chi) = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} I_\zeta(\chi) \quad (3)$$

Similarly, the covariant  $\theta$  component of the magnetic field  $g(\chi)$  is related to the poloidal current external to the surface  $I_\theta(\chi)$  by

$$g(\chi) = -\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} I_\theta(\chi) \quad (4)$$

Note we may also calculate  $I_\theta(\chi)$  in cylindrical  $(R, \varphi, Z)$  coordinates given the field representation  $\vec{B} = \nabla\varphi \times \nabla\psi + F\nabla\varphi$  by

$$\begin{aligned} I_\theta(\chi) &= -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^R R' dR' \partial_{R'} F \\ &= -\frac{2\pi}{\mu_0} F \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $g(\chi) = F(\chi)$ .

## Defining $\alpha$ to preserve $\delta\vec{B} \cdot \nabla\chi$

Many electromagnetic codes use a reduced model of electromagnetic perturbations in which

$$\delta\vec{B}_\alpha = \nabla \times (\alpha\vec{B}_0) \quad (5)$$

$$= \nabla\alpha \times \vec{B}_0 + \alpha\mu_0\vec{J}_0 \quad (6)$$

where we use the subscript  $\alpha$  to denote the fact that this is a reduced representation.

In general, given  $\delta\vec{B}$ , there is no unique way to choose  $\alpha$ , since  $\delta\vec{B}$  has two degrees of freedom (three vector components plus the constraint that  $\nabla \cdot \delta\vec{B} = 0$ , whereas equation (5) has only one degree of freedom. Therefore we must choose  $\alpha$  so that  $\delta\vec{B}_\alpha$  captures the particular features of  $\delta\vec{B}$  that we deem most important.

Here we choose to determine  $\alpha$  such that  $\delta\vec{B}_\alpha \cdot \nabla\chi = \delta\vec{B} \cdot \nabla\chi$ . This choice ensures that the reduced field accurately represents  $B_{mn}$ , where

$$B_{mn} = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{A} \iint d\zeta d\theta \mathcal{J}(\delta\vec{B} \cdot \nabla\chi) e^{im\theta - in\zeta} \quad (7)$$

and where  $A$  is the surface area of the magnetic surface. Below, we show that  $\alpha$  is uniquely determined by  $B_{mn}$ .

Writing the equilibrium field  $\vec{B}_0$  in the covariant form defined in equation (2), we may write the perturbed field as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\vec{B}_\alpha &= \nabla\alpha \times \vec{B}_0 + \alpha\mu_0\vec{J}_0 \\ &= g(\chi) (\partial_\chi\alpha\nabla\chi \times \nabla\zeta + \partial_\theta\alpha\nabla\theta \times \nabla\zeta) \\ &\quad + I(\chi) (\partial_\chi\alpha\nabla\chi \times \nabla\theta + \partial_\zeta\alpha\nabla\zeta \times \nabla\theta) \\ &\quad + \beta (\partial_\theta\alpha\nabla\theta \times \nabla\chi + \partial_\zeta\alpha\nabla\zeta \times \nabla\chi) \\ &\quad + \alpha\mu_0\vec{J}_0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Noting that  $\vec{J}_0 \cdot \nabla\chi = 0$ , this becomes

$$\delta\vec{B}_\alpha \cdot \nabla\chi = \mathcal{J}^{-1} [g(\chi)\partial_\theta\alpha - I(\chi)\partial_\zeta\alpha] \quad (9)$$

Now we write  $\alpha$  in terms of its spectral components

$$\alpha = \sum_{m,n} \alpha_{mn} e^{in\zeta - im\theta} \quad (10)$$

Inserting this definition into equation (9) yields

$$\delta\vec{B}_\alpha \cdot \nabla\chi = -i\mathcal{J}^{-1} \sum_{mn} [mg(\chi) + nI(\chi)] \alpha_{mn} e^{in\zeta - im\theta} \quad (11)$$

We now derive the relationship between  $\alpha_{mn}$  and  $B_{mn}$  that results from the choice  $\vec{B}_\alpha \cdot \nabla\chi = \vec{B} \cdot \nabla\chi$ . Substituting the above expression for  $\vec{B}_\alpha$  for  $\vec{B} \cdot \nabla\chi$  in equation (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} B_{mn} &= -\frac{i(2\pi)^2}{A} \iint d\zeta d\theta \sum_{m'n'} [m'g(\chi) + n'I(\chi)] \alpha_{m'n'} e^{i(m-m')\theta - i(n-n')\zeta} \\ &= -\frac{i(2\pi)^4}{A} [mg(\chi) + nI(\chi)] \alpha_{mn} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus we find

$$\alpha_{mn} = \frac{iAB_{mn}}{(2\pi)^4 [mg(\chi) + nI(\chi)]} \quad (13)$$

## Other ways to define $\alpha$

### Defining $\alpha$ to preserve $\delta B_{\parallel}$

As mentioned in the previous section, the above definition of  $\alpha$  is not unique since any choice of  $\alpha$  will result in some loss of information about  $\delta\vec{B}$  in general. Instead of defining  $\alpha$  to retain exact information about  $\delta\vec{B} \cdot \nabla\chi$ , we may instead define  $\alpha$  in order to exactly reproduce  $\delta B_{\parallel}$ . From the parallel component of equation (5) we find

$$\alpha = \frac{\delta\vec{B} \cdot \vec{B}_0}{\mu_0 \vec{J}_0 \cdot \vec{B}_0} \quad (14)$$

Given this choice of  $\alpha$ , the perpendicular components of a given  $\delta\vec{B}$  will generally not agree with equation (5).

### Defining $\alpha$ to preserve $\delta A_{\parallel}$

Since  $\delta\vec{B} = \nabla \times \delta\vec{A}$ , we find the relation between  $\alpha$  and  $\delta\vec{A}$ :

$$\delta\vec{A} = \alpha\vec{B}_0 + \nabla\Phi \quad (15)$$

for some function  $\Phi$ . Thus

$$\alpha = \frac{(\delta\vec{A} - \nabla\Phi) \cdot \vec{B}_0}{|B_0|^2} \quad (16)$$

If we make the assumption that  $\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla\Phi = 0$  so that  $\alpha = \delta A_{\parallel}/|B_0|$ , we preserve the parallel component of the vector potential exactly, but all of the field components from equation (5) will generally differ from  $\nabla \times \delta\vec{A}$ .

## Conversion from M3D-C1 Boozer coordinates to GTC Boozer coordinates

In GTC [1], the Boozer coordinates  $(\bar{\psi}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\zeta})$  are defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{B} &= \bar{\delta}\nabla\bar{\psi} + \bar{I}\nabla\bar{\theta} + \bar{g}\nabla\bar{\zeta} \\ &= q\nabla\bar{\psi} \times \nabla\bar{\theta} - \nabla\bar{\psi} \times \nabla\bar{\zeta} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\psi}$  always increases outward from the magnetic axis,  $\bar{\theta}$  is clockwise about the magnetic axis in positive- $I_P$  equilibria and counter-clockwise in negative- $I_P$  equilibria (so that  $\vec{B} \cdot \nabla\bar{\theta} = \bar{J}^{-1} > 0$  is always satisfied), and  $\bar{\zeta}$  always increases in the direction of  $I_P$ . This implies

$$\bar{\psi} = -\text{sgn}(I_P)\chi\psi' \quad \bar{\theta} = \text{sgn}(I_P)\theta \quad \bar{\zeta} = \text{sgn}(I_P)\zeta \quad (17)$$

Thus

$$\bar{\delta} = -\text{sgn}(I_P)\beta \quad \bar{I} = \text{sgn}(I_P)I \quad \bar{g} = \text{sgn}(I_P)g \quad (18)$$

For both codes, the safety factor  $q$  is defined such that  $q > 0$  when  $I_P$  and  $B_T$  both have the same sign, and  $q < 0$  otherwise.

GTC defines the Fourier components of  $\alpha$  by

$$\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}} e^{i\bar{m}\bar{\theta} - i\bar{n}\bar{\zeta}} \quad (19)$$

Using the transformation in equation (17) together with M3D-C1's definition of  $\alpha_{mn}$  in equation (10) we find that this implies

$$\bar{m} = -\text{sgn}(I_P)m \quad \bar{n} = -\text{sgn}(I_P)n \quad (20)$$

and therefore

$$\bar{\alpha}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{mn}^* & I_P > 0 \\ \alpha_{mn} & I_P < 0 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where we have used the fact that  $\alpha_{-m-n} = \alpha_{mn}^*$ .

## References

- [1] P. Jiang, Z. Lin, I Holod, and C. Xiao. *Phys. Plasmas* **21**, 122513 (2014)