

Zonal flow measurements concept I

T S Hahm[†], K H Burrell[‡], Z Lin[†], R Nazikian[†] and E J Synakowski[†]

[†] Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

[‡] General Atomics, San Diego, CA 92186-9784, USA

Received 29 September 1999

Abstract. We study the characteristics of self-generated zonal flows as observed in nonlinear global gyrokinetic simulations of toroidal ion temperature gradient (ITG) turbulence for typical parameters of DIII-D core plasmas. In addition, we discuss various possibilities for experimental measurements and the development of new diagnostics.

1. Introduction

$E \times B$ shear suppression of turbulence is well known to be responsible for various forms of enhanced confinement regimes [1]. Previous nonlinear decorrelation theory [2], and its generalization to toroidal geometry [3, 4], considered the macroscopic radial electric field shear which varies much more gradually than turbulent eddy turnover time, as observed in the experiments.

On the other hand, recent global gyrokinetic simulations of ion temperature gradient (ITG) turbulence, with accurate descriptions of flow damping [5, 6], observed that fluctuating small scale $E \times B$ shear flows can be generated by turbulence and regulate turbulence in return, significantly affecting the transport level. This is qualitatively similar to previous simulation results in the flux-tube domain, including early gyrofluid simulations [7]. Experimental measurements of zonal flows will, therefore, contribute to our progress in finding ways to control transport. In section 2, we summarize the characteristics of zonal flows observed in gyrokinetic simulations of toroidal ITG turbulence. The possibilities of measuring features of turbulent fluctuation, which are induced by zonal flows, are discussed in section 3. Section 4 contains a discussion which is specific to the edge turbulence.

2. Properties of zonal flows in ITG turbulence

Zonal flows are radially localized ($k_r a \gg 1$), axisymmetric ($k_\phi = 0$), and mainly poloidal $E \times B$ flows, u_E . Since k_θ of the electrostatic potential associated with zonal flows is also zero, there is no radial motion associated with them and zonal flows cannot tap the expansion free energy associated with the radial gradient of pressure. Therefore, they are linearly stable, and can only be excited through a nonlinear process such as Reynolds' stress [8], associated with the inverse cascade of the turbulence [9]. Figure 1 shows a time history of the radial shear of the turbulence driven zonal flows from gyrokinetic simulations. The flow spectrum is broad and contains components with radial scale and frequency comparable to those of the turbulence. If we take a snap shot at one time point of this plot, we obtain the radial structure of the instantaneous $E \times B$ shearing rate associated with the zonal flow. By integrating once




Figure 1. $E \times B$ shearing rate, du_E/dr , of turbulence generated zonal flow from gyrokinetic simulation. Note the significant components with spatio-temporal scales comparable to those of the turbulence.




Figure 2. Instantaneous zonal flow amplitude (a), and instantaneous $E \times B$ shearing rate (b), associated with zonal flow from gyrokinetic simulation. In (b), the instantaneous shearing rate is dominated by high k_r components. The maximum linear growth rate is denoted by horizontal lines.

radially, we obtain the radial structure of the $E \times B$ flow plotted in figure 2(a). We can observe that small-scale (around several ion gyroradii) structures are superimposed on larger-scale (fraction of system size) radial variations. Figure 2(b) shows that the instantaneous $E \times B$ shearing rate is dominated by the high k_r components, which also have high frequencies. The peak value of the instantaneous shearing rate, which varies in radius and time, is much higher than the maximum linear growth rate shown by the horizontal lines. Previous gyrokinetic simulations [5] have shown that inclusion of such turbulence-driven small-scale zonal flows leads to a substantial reduction in ion thermal diffusivity. However, turbulence is not totally suppressed, and ion thermal transport is still anomalous.

This may appear somewhat puzzling since many tokamak groups [1,10–16] have continued reporting that their plasmas make the transition to enhanced confinement




Figure 3. (a) k_r spectrum of zonal flow intensity $S \propto |u_E|^2$ from gyrokinetic simulation. (b) Frequency spectrum of zonal flow intensity S at $k_r \rho_i = 0.1$ from gyrokinetic simulation. Note the peaks at zero frequency and at GAM frequency $\sim v_{Ti}/R$.

regimes when the equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ shearing rate [4] exceeds the linear growth rate of microinstabilities. To understand this qualitative difference between the nonlinear simulation results with fluctuating zonal flows and the experimental results regarding the equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flows, we have considered a model problem [17] in which we assumed that the potential for zonal flow is a flux function, but it varies sinusoidally in time with a characteristic frequency ω_r . We have shown that fast time varying components of zonal flows are less effective in shearing turbulence eddies. The fundamental reason for this is that the zonal flow shear pattern changes (recall figure 1) before the eddies can be completely torn apart. The turbulent eddies can then recover some of their original shape, and the shearing effect is reduced. An effective shearing rate including this effect has been derived analytically and compared favourably with gyrofluid simulations [17].

Our gyrokinetic simulations show significant broadening of the k_r spectrum due to zonal flows [17], as can be deduced from the turbulence eddy shape in the nonlinearly saturated state [5]. These are in qualitative agreement with our analytical prediction which involves the effective shearing rate. This analysis shows that for typical core plasma parameters, high-frequency components of zonal flows are not important in shearing turbulent eddies. A statistical theory based on drift wave kinetics in a random media consisting of an ensemble of low-frequency zonal flows [18] also predicts broadening of the k_r spectrum by random refraction.

In summary, gyrokinetic simulations of toroidal ITG turbulence for typical core plasmas of DIII-D tokamak exhibit the following characteristics of self-generated zonal flows:

- (a) $u_E/v_{Ti} \simeq u_\theta/v_{Ti} \leq 10^{-2}$ such that u_E could be as large as the diamagnetic velocity due to the equilibrium pressure gradient, although there have been no systematic studies on parameter dependences. Turbulence does not generate significant parallel flows u_\parallel . In simulations, toroidal flows u_ϕ are conserved in time. Therefore, $u_\parallel \simeq (B_\theta/B)u_E$. In the short-term zonal flow autocorrelation time scales (explained shortly), we expect the impurity poloidal velocities induced by zonal flow to be the same as the main ion poloidal velocities. In the absence of turbulence drive, the poloidal flow will eventually be damped on the neoclassical time scales [19].
- (b) $u_E(k_r)$ peaks at $k_r \rho_i \simeq 0.1$ with a broad width $\Delta k_r \rho_i \simeq 0.5$, as shown in figure 3(a). Radial scales of zonal flows range from a fraction of the system size to several ion gyroradii. We also note that high k_r (and high-frequency) components, which are prominent in the zonal

flow shear spectrum, are less pronounced in the zonal flow amplitude spectrum.

- (c) $u_E(\omega)$ at fixed k_r peaks at $\omega = 0$ with a width $\Delta\omega^{ZF}/2\pi \sim 5$ kHz, which is the autocorrelation frequency of zonal flows, as shown in figure 3(b). A subdominant peak at a higher frequency corresponds to the geodesic acoustic mode (GAM). Since the shearing effect due to this part of the zonal flow spectrum is expected to be small for typical tokamak core plasma parameters [17], it is not included in our estimation of $\Delta\omega^{ZF}$. We note that $\delta n_e^{ZF} = 0$ for ITG models with proper adiabatic electrons [20].

Since $\delta n_e^{ZF}/n_0 \ll e\Phi^{ZF}/T_e$ is expected for typical core plasmas, it would be advantageous to directly measure either the flows (e.g. with charge exchange recombination spectroscopy) or the associated radial electric field (e.g. using the motional stark effect). However, achieving the time resolution needed to distinguish the fluctuating component from the equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flows is a challenge.

3. Effects of zonal flows on ambient turbulence and transport

Since it may not be feasible to measure the zonal flows directly in the core plasma using the present diagnostics capabilities, it will be useful to look for signatures of the zonal flows on the ambient turbulence. One idea is to identify the fluctuating component of the zonal flow from the modulated Doppler shift of short-scale fluctuation measurements. This method can be applied to any diagnostic measurement. It is important to remember that at least three different time scales are involved in the zonal flow–ambient ITG turbulence system. As stated in the preceding section, zonal flow amplitude could reach up to $10^{-2} v_{Ti}$, inducing significant Doppler-shift of the ambient turbulence frequency spectrum. For instance, we expect $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}_E \leq 100$ kHz for $k_\theta \simeq 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $u_E \simeq 3 \times 10^5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. This is typically larger than the intrinsic decorrelation rate of the ambient turbulence in the plasma frame, $\Delta\omega_T$. Taking $\Delta\omega_T$ to be of the order of the toroidal ITG linear growth rate, $\Delta\omega_T \sim k_\theta \rho_i v_{Ti} / \sqrt{RL_{Ti}}$, we expect the zonal flow induced Doppler-shift $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}_E$ to be larger than the frequency broadening of the ambient turbulence $\Delta\omega_T$ when $u_E/v_{Ti} > \rho_i / \sqrt{RL_{Ti}}$. Finally, since the zonal flow amplitude changes with the autocorrelation rate $\Delta\omega^{ZF}$, it will slowly modulate the ambient turbulence spectrum with $\Delta\omega^{ZF}$, which is typically lower than $\Delta\omega_T$. In summary, for typical tokamak core plasma parameters, we expect

$$\Delta\omega^{ZF} < \Delta\omega_T < \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}_E.$$

Since $k_\theta = k_\phi = 0$ for the potential associated with zonal flows, one would expect that the zonal flows will affect turbulence on the same flux surface in the same way, regardless of their poloidal or toroidal location[†]. From poloidally or toroidally displaced (much greater than the ambient turbulence correlation length in each direction) density fluctuation measurements, one could look for the correlation properties which are induced by zonal flows. The possibilities include the correlation in the fluctuation phase velocity from reflectometry [21], or in the shift of the turbulence frequency spectrum mean from tangential imaging of D_α light [22], which has previously been used for edge turbulence measurements [23, 24]. These ideas will be tested first in the gyrokinetic simulations, also addressing required radial resolution and the effects of advection due to mean $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flow.

[†] To be more precise, the potential $\Phi(\psi)$ is a flux function. Flux expansion due to finite aspect ratio, Shafranov shift, or plasma shaping makes the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flow and the shearing rate vary on the same flux surface. As discussed in [4], if $k_\theta \simeq k_r$ for ambient turbulence, the equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ shearing rate becomes larger at the large major radius side compared to the small major radius side at the same flux surface. This leads to a plausible explanation of an in–out asymmetry in fluctuation suppression behaviour in DIII-D, as discussed in [16].

Another way to systematically demonstrate the effects of zonal flows is to change the plasma parameters in such a way as to change the zonal flow intensity without introducing changes in the instability drive, and show the agreement between experiments (from beam emission spectroscopy, reflectometry, and microwave scattering) and theory (from gyrokinetic simulations with collisions) of ambient turbulence spectra of k_r and k_θ . This seems feasible with existing diagnostics and simulation capabilities. It is desirable to have data from systematic nondimensional scans of plasmas, especially a ν_* scan, since recent gyrokinetic simulations have shown little change in the ITG or trapped ion mode linear growth rate as the ion–ion collisions are increased up to eight times the realistic value, with other parameters fixed [6]. With increasing ion–ion collisions, increases in ion thermal transport have been observed, in qualitative agreement with trends from the ν_* scans of DIII-D H-mode plasmas [25] and C-Mod H-mode plasmas [26]. Collisional damping of zonal flows seems to be responsible for this trend. It is also useful to note that bursty behaviour of density fluctuations with a period close to the collisional damping time of flows have also been observed in simulations near ITG marginality [6]. The observed bursting period (~ 3 ms) in TFTR reversed shear plasmas [10] is also close to the collisional damping time of zonal flows. Bursting behaviour in the experiments [10, 16] could be used for zonal flow studies since it naturally exhibits both the high zonal flow–low ambient turbulence state and the low zonal flow–high ambient turbulence state, and thus facilitates the scalings studies in simulations without the need for changing the equilibrium profiles.

It is sometimes convenient to consider a turbulence plasma as a self-regulating, two-component system consisting of the usual ambient ITG turbulence and the $(k_\phi, k_\theta) = (0, 0)$ component which is zonal flow. Since zonal flow cannot tap the expansion free energy directly, the energy lost by turbulence by random shearing is gained by zonal flows. Although we usually discuss each process independently, duality of random shearing and flow generation is just a statement on the nonlinear mode coupling, specifically a distant interaction between finite k_θ and zero k_θ modes [18]. Therefore, a bi-spectral analysis of fluctuation data [27] could be useful in demonstrating the existence of zonal flows [28].

4. Zonal flows in edge turbulence

While we have discussed zonal flows in the context of ITG drift turbulence in core plasmas in the preceding sections, ITG may not be a relevant turbulence paradigm for the edge plasmas (at most, a few centimetres inside the last closed flux surface) of present day tokamaks. At the edge, sharp pressure gradients make the diamagnetic drift frequency at the relevant long wavelength closer to the GAM frequency [29]. Therefore, the GAM could possibly affect the ambient turbulence. However, many generic qualitative properties of zonal flows which we have discussed should remain valid for the edge turbulence. In the last few years, there have been considerable advances in edge turbulence simulations using Braginskii equations [29, 30], while different theoretical models [31] exist. More emphasis on zonal flow dynamics (including dependence on the flow damping) would provide useful information on possible measurements of zonal flows at the edge. The line-integrated density fluctuation measurements at the DIII-D edge via phase contrast imaging [32] seem to be related to zonal flows, although it remains to be demonstrated that $k_\theta = k_\phi = 0$ for the fluctuations. For this, from poloidally or toroidally displaced (much greater than the ambient turbulence correlation length in each direction) potential measurements via langmuir probes [22, 33], one could look for strong correlations.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank P H Diamond, M Beer, E J Doyle, E Mazzucato, G McKee, C Rettig, T Rhodes and S Zweben for useful discussions. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy Contract No DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073 and the US Department of Energy Contract No DE-AC03-89ER51114.

References

- [1] Burrell K H 1997 *Phys. Plasmas* **4** 1499
- [2] Biglari H, Diamond P H and Terry P W 1990 *Phys. Fluids* B **2** 1
- [3] Hahm T S 1994 *Phys. Plasmas* **1** 2940
- [4] Hahm T S and Burrell K H 1995 *Phys. Plasmas* **2** 1648
- [5] Lin Z, Hahm T S, Lee W W, Tang W M and White R B 1998 *Science* **281** 1835
- [6] Lin Z, Hahm T S, Lee W W, Tang W M and Diamond P H 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 3645
- [7] Beer M A 1995 *PhD Thesis* Princeton University
Hammett G W, Beer M A, Dorland W, Cowley S C and Smith S A 1993 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **35** 973
- [8] Diamond P H and Kim Y B 1991 *Phys. Fluids* B **3** 1626
- [9] Hasegawa A and Wakatani M 1987 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** 1581
- [10] Mazzucato E et al 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 3145
- [11] Synakowski E J et al 1997 *Phys. Plasmas* **4** 1736
- [12] Doyle E J et al 1997 *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1996* vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 547
- [13] Soldner F X and the JET Team 1997 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **39** B353
- [14] Shirai H and the JT-60 Team 1998 *Phys. Plasmas* **5** 1712
- [15] Jachmich S et al 1998 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **40** 1105
- [16] Rettig C L et al 1998 *Phys. Plasmas* **5** 1727
- [17] Hahm T S et al 1999 *Phys. Plasmas* **6** 922
- [18] Diamond P H et al 1998 *Plasma Phys. and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research* (Vienna: IAEA) IAEA-CN-69/TH3/1
- [19] Hinton F L and Rosenbluth M N 1999 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **41** A653
- [20] Lee W W, Tang W M and Okuda H 1980 *Phys. Fluids* **23** 2007
Dorland W 1993 *PhD Thesis* Princeton University
Cohen B I, Williams T J, Dimits A M and Byers J A 1993 *Phys. Fluids* B **5** 2967
- [21] Rhodes T et al 1999 *Nucl. Fusion* **39** 1051
- [22] Zweben S 1999 Private communication
- [23] Zweben S and Medley S S 1989 *Phys. Fluids* B **1** 2058
- [24] Endler M et al 1995 *Nucl. Fusion* **35** 1307
- [25] Petty C and Luce T 1999 *Phys. Plasmas* **6** 909
- [26] Greenwald M et al 1998 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **40** 789
- [27] Kim J S et al 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 841
- [28] Diamond P H 1999 Private communication
- [29] Rogers B N, Drake J F and Zeiler A 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** 4396
- [30] Scott B D 1992 *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research* vol III (Vienna: IAEA) p 447
- [31] Mikhailovskii A B and Tsypin V S 1984 *Beitr. Plasmaphys.* **24** 335
- [32] Coda S, Pokolab M and Burrell K H 1997 *Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics* (Petit-lancy, Switzerland: European Physical Society) vol 21A, Part III, p 1141
- [33] Prager S 1998 Private communication